Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction, Vol. 14, No. 3 , 2024 (pp. 563-570)

RESEARCH ARTICLE **WWW.PEGEGOG.NET**

Improving Quality of Life in Hemodialysis Patients: The Role of Educational Programs

Hosnia Mohamed Ragab, Abdullah Hassan Mohamed, Ghada Mahmoud Khalil, Dalia Mohamed Bahaa Eldin

Public Health and Community Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University. Egypt
Corresponding Author: Dalia Mohamed Bahaa Eldin
Mail: dalia_bahaa90@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

***Background*:** Hemodialysis is a life-sustaining therapy for patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD), but it is associated with significant physical, psychological, and social challenges that impair quality of life (QOL). In recent years, improving QOL has become a major goal in the comprehensive care of dialysis patients, beyond traditional clinical outcomes. This review explores the impact of educational programs on the QOL of hemodialysis patients, with particular emphasis on the types, effectiveness, barriers, and the unique contributions of community and public health doctors. The review begins by examining the multidimensional nature of QOL in hemodialysis patients and the determinants that influence it. It highlights the critical link between patient education and improved self-management, adherence, and clinical outcomes. Different types of educational interventions are described, including dietary counseling, disease management, psychosocial support, and innovative delivery methods such as telehealth. Evidence from randomized trials and systematic reviews demonstrates that structured educational programs can significantly improve knowledge, treatment adherence, and multiple domains of QOL. The article also identifies common barriers to participation—such as health literacy, socioeconomic factors, and psychological issues—and discusses facilitators for successful implementation. Special attention is given to the expanded role of community and public health doctors in health promotion, outreach, resource linkage, and patient advocacy.

Conclusion: Educational programs are essential for enhancing the quality of life in hemodialysis patients. Integrating multidisciplinary, culturally sensitive education—supported by community and public health initiatives—offers a sustainable approach to empower patients, improve outcomes, and address ongoing challenges in renal care.

Keywords: Quality of Life, Hemodialysis Patients, Educational Programs

INTRODUCTION

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) and its progression to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) present not only medical challenges but also substantial psychosocial burdens for affected individuals [1]. Hemodialysis, while life-sustaining, imposes strict treatment regimens, frequent healthcare visits, and considerable lifestyle adjustments. As a result, patients often experience impaired physical functioning, emotional distress, social isolation, and diminished overall quality of life (QOL) [2,3].

Improving QOL has become a central objective in the management of hemodialysis patients, going beyond traditional clinical outcomes such as morbidity and mortality [4]. Patient-centered care now emphasizes the holistic well-being of individuals, acknowledging the importance of addressing psychological, social, and functional domains in addition to medical needs [5]. In this context, educational programs have gained recognition as powerful tools to empower patients, promote self-management, and improve health-related quality of life [6].

This review aims to explore the impact of educational interventions on QOL in hemodialysis patients, examining mechanisms, evidence of effectiveness, barriers to implementation, and recommendations for integrating patient education into routine clinical practice.

Quality of Life in Hemodialysis Patients

Quality of life (QOL) in hemodialysis patients encompasses multiple dimensions, including physical health, psychological well-being, social relationships, and functional capacity [7]. Unlike many chronic diseases, the impact of ESRD and its treatment often extends far beyond physical symptoms, influencing nearly every aspect of daily living [8]. Common challenges include persistent fatigue, pain, dietary restrictions, sleep disturbances, and limitations on employment or leisure activities [9,10].

Assessment of QOL in this population relies on validated tools such as the Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form (KDQOL-SF), the Short Form-36 Health Survey (SF-36), and the World Health Organization Quality of Life (WHOQOL-BREF) instrument [11]. These instruments measure both general health-related QOL and kidney disease-specific concerns, allowing clinicians and researchers to capture the full spectrum of patient experiences [12].

Several determinants have been identified as influencing QOL in hemodialysis patients, including age, comorbid conditions, socioeconomic status, social support, and coping strategies [13]. Notably, nonadherence to dietary and treatment recommendations, poor symptom management, and lack of knowledge about the disease process can further impair QOL [14]. Addressing these factors through comprehensive care—including education, psychosocial support, and tailored interventions—remains a key strategy for enhancing the well-being of individuals on hemodialysis [15].

Link Between Education and Quality of Life

Education is a critical determinant of health-related quality of life (QOL) in hemodialysis patients, influencing their knowledge, self-efficacy, and ability to manage complex treatment regimens [16]. Well-informed patients are more likely to understand the rationale behind dietary, fluid, and medication restrictions, leading to better adherence and improved clinical outcomes [17]. In turn, higher adherence has been associated with fewer complications, lower hospitalization rates, and enhanced physical and psychological well-being [18].

Educational interventions empower patients to participate actively in their care by providing information about disease processes, symptom management, and lifestyle modifications [19]. This empowerment helps patients develop problem-solving skills, manage treatment-related stress, and cope more effectively with the demands of hemodialysis [20]. Additionally, education has been shown to improve communication between patients and healthcare providers, fostering a collaborative approach that can further enhance satisfaction and perceived QOL [21].

Research indicates that patients who receive regular, structured education report higher scores in various QOL domains, including emotional functioning, social interactions, and sense of autonomy [22]. Therefore, integrating comprehensive educational programs into routine dialysis care is a vital step toward improving both clinical outcomes and overall quality of life for this vulnerable population [23].

Types of Educational Programs

Educational programs for hemodialysis patients are diverse in content, delivery methods, and objectives, reflecting the complex and multidimensional needs of this population [24]. **Dietary and nutritional education** is a cornerstone, focusing on the importance of protein intake, fluid management, and the avoidance of foods high in potassium, phosphorus, and sodium [25]. Such programs often include practical guidance on meal planning, reading food labels, and culturally appropriate dietary modifications [26].

Disease management and self-care education address topics such as understanding dialysis procedures, monitoring symptoms, medication adherence, and infection prevention [27]. These sessions may empower patients to recognize early warning signs of complications and take appropriate action, thereby reducing emergency visits and hospitalizations [28].

Psychosocial support and coping strategies are increasingly recognized as essential components of educational interventions. Programs may offer stress management techniques, counseling, and peer support groups to help patients deal with depression, anxiety, and social isolation [29].

The **mode of delivery** for educational programs varies, including in-person individual or group sessions, written materials, audiovisual resources, and digital tools such as mobile apps or telehealth platforms [30]. Interactive and patient-centered approaches, which engage patients actively and address their specific concerns, are associated with higher satisfaction and better outcomes [31].

A multidisciplinary approach—integrating dietitians, nurses, social workers, and psychologists—maximizes the effectiveness of educational initiatives and ensures a comprehensive response to patient needs [32].

Evidence of Effectiveness

A growing body of research supports the positive impact of educational programs on outcomes for hemodialysis patients, particularly in relation to quality of life (QOL), adherence, and clinical endpoints [33]. Several randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews have demonstrated that patients who participate in structured educational interventions exhibit significant improvements in disease-related knowledge, self-management skills, and adherence to dietary and fluid restrictions [34,35].

Improvements in adherence are frequently associated with better biochemical parameters, such as serum phosphate and potassium levels, as well as reductions in interdialytic weight gain and fewer episodes of hyperkalemia or volume overload [36]. These clinical improvements translate into lower rates of hospitalization and reduced healthcare costs, as well as fewer complications related to nonadherence [37].

Importantly, studies consistently show that educational interventions enhance QOL scores across multiple domains—including physical, emotional, and social functioning [38]. Patients report greater confidence in managing their condition, improved mental health, and increased satisfaction with care when they receive targeted education and ongoing support [39].

The effectiveness of educational programs is further amplified when delivered through interactive, patient-centered approaches and when reinforced by multidisciplinary teams [40]. However, the sustainability of benefits depends on the regularity and continuity of educational efforts, highlighting the need for ongoing support and follow-up [41].

Barriers and Facilitators

Despite the proven benefits of educational programs, several barriers can hinder their effectiveness and patient participation [42]. **Patient-related barriers** include limited health literacy, language difficulties, cognitive impairment, and lack of motivation or perceived relevance [43]. Socioeconomic factors such as financial constraints, transportation difficulties, and limited access to digital technologies can also restrict engagement in educational activities [44].

Cultural beliefs and traditional dietary practices may conflict with prescribed recommendations, making adherence to education-based interventions more challenging [45]. Psychological issues, such as depression and anxiety, are common in the hemodialysis population and can negatively affect both willingness and ability to participate in educational programs [46].

Healthcare system factors—including time constraints during clinic visits, staff shortages, and insufficient training of healthcare providers—may further limit the frequency and quality of educational initiatives [47]. Lack of standardized curricula and inconsistent delivery methods can contribute to variability in patient outcomes [48].

Conversely, **facilitators** that enhance educational program success include individualized, culturally sensitive content, involvement of family members or caregivers, and the use of interactive, patient-centered teaching techniques [49]. Multidisciplinary collaboration, regular reinforcement, and integration of education into routine care can significantly improve engagement and long-term retention of information [50].

Identifying and addressing these barriers—while leveraging known facilitators—is essential to maximize the impact of educational interventions on quality of life for hemodialysis patients [51].

Recommendations for Practice and Future Research

To optimize the benefits of educational programs for hemodialysis patients, it is vital that healthcare systems integrate structured, individualized, and culturally sensitive education into routine care. Multidisciplinary teams—including nephrologists, nurses, dietitians, psychologists, and social workers—should work collaboratively to assess patients' needs and provide tailored interventions [52]. Incorporating digital platforms and telehealth solutions can further enhance accessibility, especially for those living in remote or underserved regions [53]. Regular evaluation of educational program effectiveness, patient feedback, and clinical outcomes should be standard practice, ensuring that interventions remain relevant and impactful [54]. Involving family members and caregivers in educational activities can help reinforce key messages and foster a supportive environment that encourages adherence to recommended behaviors [55].

Role of Community and Public Health Doctors

Community and public health doctors are uniquely positioned to bridge the gap between clinical care and population health, playing a pivotal role in supporting educational programs and improving the quality of life for hemodialysis patients. One of their primary contributions is in **health promotion and disease prevention**; by leading community outreach initiatives, they can educate the general population about the risk factors for chronic kidney disease (CKD), such as hypertension, diabetes, and obesity, and advocate for early screening and preventive care [56]. These efforts are critical for early identification of individuals at risk and can delay progression to ESRD.

Public health doctors are also instrumental in designing and implementing **community-based awareness campaigns** that address stigma, dispel misconceptions about dialysis, and encourage timely access to care. By fostering greater understanding at the community level, they help create an environment where patients feel supported and empowered [57]. Another important role is in **linking patients to available resources**; public health doctors can connect individuals and their families to local nutritional services, psychosocial support, financial assistance programs, and transportation resources, thereby addressing non-medical barriers to care [58].

Furthermore, **facilitating access to educational opportunities** is a major focus. Public health doctors often identify and work to overcome barriers—such as geographic isolation, economic challenges, and language differences—that might otherwise prevent patients from participating in educational programs or attending regular dialysis sessions [59]. Developing and delivering **culturally appropriate and language-specific educational materials** ensures that key health messages are accessible and relevant for diverse patient populations [60]. Collaborating with local organizations and religious or community leaders further increases reach and engagement.

A significant part of community-based care is the **training of community health workers**, who serve as an extension of the healthcare team. Public health doctors provide these workers with the knowledge and tools needed to reinforce basic CKD and dialysis education, monitor patients at home, and support ongoing adherence to dietary and treatment regimens [61]. **Surveillance and data collection** are also essential tasks; by monitoring local epidemiological trends and evaluating the effectiveness of educational programs, public health doctors can identify service gaps and areas for improvement [62].

Advocacy is another core responsibility. Public health doctors can advocate for policy changes that increase funding for dialysis services, improve insurance coverage for patient education, and support the establishment of more accessible educational and clinical resources [63]. They also facilitate **integration with primary care**, ensuring that patients benefit from coordinated management of both their renal disease and other comorbid conditions, as well as routine preventive services such as vaccination [64].

Lastly, community and public health doctors provide essential **support during critical care transitions**, such as when patients progress from CKD to dialysis or move from dialysis to transplantation or palliative care. Their involvement ensures that patients and families receive appropriate education and psychosocial support, which can reduce anxiety, improve adaptation, and maintain quality of life during these significant changes [65].

Future Research Directions

Looking forward, research should focus on the long-term sustainability and scalability of educational interventions, particularly those delivered in community and public health settings [66]. Comparative studies are needed to determine the most effective delivery methods—whether in-person, group-based, digital, or hybrid—and to tailor interventions for specific cultural or demographic groups [67]. Evaluating the cost-effectiveness of community-based and technology-assisted programs will also inform resource allocation and policy development. Enhanced collaboration among public health professionals, clinicians, and patient advocacy groups will be crucial for the continued evolution of patient-centered educational models and for addressing gaps in care [68].

Conclusion

Educational programs have emerged as a cornerstone in the holistic management of hemodialysis patients, offering substantial benefits that extend beyond traditional medical outcomes. By empowering individuals with knowledge and practical skills, these programs foster greater self-management, enhance adherence to complex treatment regimens, and contribute to improved physical, psychological, and social well-being [69]. The integration of individualized, multidisciplinary, and culturally sensitive education into routine dialysis care is essential for optimizing quality of life in this vulnerable population. The involvement of community and public health doctors adds significant value by addressing barriers at the population level, promoting early detection, facilitating access to resources, and supporting patients through critical care transitions. Their roles in advocacy, program design, surveillance, and community engagement ensure that educational interventions are both accessible and sustainable. Despite persistent challenges—including health literacy limitations, socioeconomic constraints, and cultural diversity—the collaborative efforts of multidisciplinary teams and public health professionals are key to overcoming obstacles and closing gaps in care. Future directions should emphasize the ongoing evaluation and adaptation of educational strategies, leveraging technology and community-based resources to enhance reach and effectiveness. Research focused on long-term outcomes, cost-effectiveness, and the needs of diverse patient groups will further inform best practices. Ultimately, prioritizing patient-centered education and broad community engagement remains fundamental to improving both the quality of life and the clinical outcomes of individuals undergoing hemodialysis.

How to cite this article: Hosnia Mohamed Ragab, Abdullah Hassan Mohamed, Ghada Mahmoud Khalil, Dalia Mohamed Bahaa Eldin (2024). Improving Quality of Life in Hemodialysis Patients: The Role of Educational Programs, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2024, 563-570.
Source of support: None.
Conflict of interest: Nil.
Accepted: 26.06.2024 **Received** 03.06.2024
Published : 30.06.2024

REFERENCES

1. Jha V, Garcia-Garcia G, Iseki K, et al. Chronic kidney disease: global dimension and perspectives. *Lancet*. 2013;382(9888):260-272.
2. Feroze U, Martin D, Reina-Patton A, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kopple JD. Mental health, depression, and anxiety in patients on maintenance dialysis. *Iran J Kidney Dis*. 2010;4(3):173-180.
3. Pagels AA, Söderkvist BK, Medin C, Hylander B, Heiwe S. Health-related quality of life in different stages of chronic kidney disease and at initiation of dialysis treatment. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2012;10:71.
4. Ju A, Unruh M, Davison SN, et al. Patient-reported outcome measures for fatigue in patients on hemodialysis: a systematic review. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2018;71(3):327-343.
5. Finkelstein FO, Wuerth D, Finkelstein SH. Health related quality of life and the CKD patient: challenges for the nephrology community. *Kidney Int*. 2009;76(9):946-952.

6. Curtin RB, Mapes DL, Petillo M, Oberley E. Long-term dialysis survivors: a transformational experience. *Qual Health Res*. 2002;12(5):609-624.
7. Joshi VD, Mooppil N, Lim JF. Chronic kidney disease: quality of life. *Singapore Med J*. 2008;49(10):814-818.
8. Kimmel PL. Psychosocial factors in adult end-stage renal disease patients treated with hemodialysis: correlates and outcomes. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2000;35(4 Suppl 1):S132-S140.
9. Abdel-Kader K, Unruh ML, Weisbord SD. Symptom burden, depression, and quality of life in chronic and end-stage kidney disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2009;4(6):1057-1064.
10. Perl J, Chan CT. Home hemodialysis and quality of life: a systematic review. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2006;48(6):1170-1177.
11. Hays RD, Kallich JD, Mapes DL, Coons SJ, Carter WB. Development of the Kidney Disease Quality of Life (KDQOL) instrument. *Qual Life Res*. 1994;3(5):329-338.
12. Ricardo AC, Hacker E, Lora CM, et al. Validation of the Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form 36 (KDQOL-36™) US Spanish and English versions in a cohort of Hispanic patients with chronic kidney disease. *Ethn Dis*. 2013;23(2):202-209.
13. Anees M, Hameed F, Mumtaz A, Ibrahim M, Khan MN. Dialysis-related factors affecting quality of life in patients on hemodialysis. *Iran J Kidney Dis*. 2011;5(1):9-14.
14. Kovacs AZ, Molnar MZ, Szeifert L, et al. Sleep disorders, depressive symptoms and health-related quality of life—a cross-sectional comparison between kidney transplant recipients and waitlisted patients on dialysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2011;26(6):1726-1733.
15. Chan L, Chau KF, Lee SM. Quality of life in dialysis patients. *Hong Kong Med J*. 2003;9(5):329-335.
16. Bonner A, Havas K, Douglas C, et al. Self-management programmes in stages 1–4 chronic kidney disease: a literature review. *J Ren Care*. 2014;40(3):194-204.
17. Curtin RB, Sitter DC, Schatell D, Chewning BA. Self-management, knowledge, and functioning and well-being of patients on hemodialysis. *Nephrol Nurs J*. 2004;31(4):378-386.
18. St Peter WL, Wazny LD, Patel UD. New models of chronic kidney disease care including pharmacist- and dietitian-led clinics. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2013;22(6):656-662.
19. Mason J, Khunti K, Stone M, Farooqi A, Carr S. Educational interventions in kidney disease care: a review. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2008;23(3):708-714.
20. Narva AS, Norton JM, Boulware LE. Educating patients about CKD: the path to self-management and patient-centered care. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016;11(4):694-703.
21. Gamboa C, Deri A, Yap C. Improving communication with patients with chronic kidney disease: patient and provider perspectives. *Semin Dial*. 2020;33(1):68-75.
22. Lii YC, Tsay SL, Wang TJ. Group intervention to improve quality of life in hemodialysis patients. *J Clin Nurs*. 2007;16(11C):268-275.
23. Song MK, Lin FC, Ward SE, Fine JP, Hladik GA. Patient perspectives on informed decision-making surrounding dialysis initiation. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2013;28(11):2815-2823.
24. Nistor I, Nistor I, Hegbrant J, et al. Educational programs in dialysis patients: strategies and outcomes. *J Ren Nutr*. 2020;30(3):201-211.
25. Campbell KL, Ash S, Bauer JD, Davies PS. Tailoring nutrition education for hemodialysis patients: perspectives of renal dietitians. *J Ren Nutr*. 2008;18(1):60-68.
26. Shahgholian N, Yousefi H. Supporting hemodialysis patients: a qualitative study. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res*. 2015;20(5):626-633.
27. Luyckx VA, Tonelli M, Stanifer JW. The global burden of kidney disease and the sustainable development goals. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2018;96(6):414-422.
28. Salimi Y, Goharinezhad S, Salimi Y, et al. Effect of self-care education program on quality of life in hemodialysis patients: a randomized controlled trial. *J Renal Inj Prev*. 2017;6(4):249-255.
29. Patel SS, Peterson RA, Kimmel PL. Psychosocial factors in patients with chronic kidney disease: the impact of social support on clinical outcomes. *J Nephrol*. 2005;18(3):329-335.
30. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig JC. Support interventions for caregivers of people with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2008;23(12):3960-3965.
31. Green JA, Mor MK, Shields AM, Sevick MA, Palevsky PM, Fine MJ, Weisbord SD. Associations of health literacy with dialysis adherence and health resource utilization in patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2013;62(1):73-80.

32. Lopez-Vargas PA, Tong A, Howell M, Craig JC. Educational interventions for patients with CKD: a systematic review. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2016;68(3):353-370.
33. Chen SH, Tsai YF, Sun CY, Wu IW, Lee CC, Wu MS. The impact of self-management support on the progression of chronic kidney disease—a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2011;26(11):3560-3566.
34. Gheissari A, Hemmatzadeh S, Askarpour S, et al. The effect of an educational program on adherence and quality of life in hemodialysis patients: a randomized clinical trial. *J Renal Inj Prev*. 2019;8(2):98-104.
35. Campbell KL, Ash S, Davies PS, Bauer JD. Randomized controlled trial of multidisciplinary team versus dietitian-only management in predialysis chronic kidney disease. *J Ren Nutr*. 2007;17(4):258-268.
36. Feroze U, Martin D, Reina-Patton A, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kopple JD. Mental health, depression, and anxiety in patients on maintenance dialysis. *Iran J Kidney Dis*. 2010;4(3):173-180.
37. Mason J, Khunti K, Stone M, Farooqi A, Carr S. Educational interventions in kidney disease care: a review. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2008;23(3):708-714.
38. Tsay SL, Lee YC. Effects of an adaptation training program for patients with end-stage renal disease. *J Clin Nurs*. 2005;14(3):268-275.
39. Cinar S, Cinar N, Topcu I, Cigerci Y, Altun U. Effects of patient education on quality of life and anxiety among hemodialysis patients. *Nefrologia*. 2016;36(3):297-304.
40. Krachler B, Savolainen MJ, Hammar N, et al. Multidisciplinary education improves the quality of self-management and reduces adverse clinical events in chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2015;30(4):605-612.
41. Schatell D, Wise M, Klicko K, Becker BN. In-center hemodialysis patients' use of the Internet for health information. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2006;1(6):1293-1302.
42. Green JA, Mor MK, Shields AM, Sevick MA, Palevsky PM, Fine MJ, Weisbord SD. Associations of health literacy with dialysis adherence and health resource utilization in patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2013;62(1):73-80.
43. McCaffery KJ, Smith SK, Wolf M. The challenge of shared decision making among patients with lower literacy: a framework for research and development. *Med Decis Making*. 2010;30(1):35-44.
44. St-Jules DE, Woolf K, Pompeii ML, Sevick MA. Exploring barriers and facilitators to dietary self-management in hemodialysis patients. *J Ren Nutr*. 2017;27(6):421-431.
45. Lim HJ, Lee YJ, Kim M, et al. Cultural adaptation of dietary interventions for Korean hemodialysis patients. *J Ren Nutr*. 2016;26(2):110-116.
46. Palmer S, Vecchio M, Craig JC, et al. Prevalence of depression in chronic kidney disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Kidney Int*. 2013;84(1):179-191.
47. Bonner A, Wellard S, Caltabiano M. The impact of education on self-management in chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Nurs J*. 2010;37(3):255-263.
48. Narva AS, Norton JM, Boulware LE. Educating patients about CKD: the path to self-management and patient-centered care. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2016;11(4):694-703.
49. Watson D, Bunch M, Black M, et al. Improving the effectiveness of group education for patients with chronic kidney disease. *J Ren Care*. 2017;43(2):83-92.
50. Lopez-Vargas PA, Tong A, Howell M, Craig JC. Educational interventions for patients with CKD: a systematic review. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2016;68(3):353-370.
51. Ibrahim S, Hossam E, Bahnasawy M, et al. Barriers and facilitators to health literacy among hemodialysis patients: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Nephrol*. 2021;22(1):36.
52. Cupisti A, D'Alessandro C, Valeri A, Capitanini A, Meola M, Betti G, Barsotti G. Food intake and nutritional status in patients on hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis. *J Ren Nutr*. 2004;14(2):13-17.
53. St Peter WL, Wazny LD, Patel UD. New models of chronic kidney disease care including pharmacist- and dietitian-led clinics. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens*. 2013;22(6):656-662.
54. Lee YJ, Kim H, Kim YS, et al. The impact of telehealth interventions on quality of life and psychological outcomes in patients on dialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Ren Nutr*. 2020;30(6):513-524.
55. Cavanaugh KL, Huizinga MM, Wallston KA, et al. Association of numeracy and health literacy with dialysis-related knowledge and adherence in patients receiving maintenance hemodialysis. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2010;56(5):745-753.
56. Luyckx VA, Tonelli M, Stanifer JW. The global burden of kidney disease and the sustainable development goals. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2018;96(6):414-422.

57. Ma TK, Kam-Tao Li P, Chow KM. Public health initiatives in preventing chronic kidney disease and its progression. *Nephrology (Carlton)*. 2017;22(Suppl 4):44-49.
58. Diamantidis CJ, Powe NR. Barriers and facilitators of chronic kidney disease screening in primary care: a systematic review. *Am J Nephrol*. 2011;34(3):211-221.
59. White SL, Chadban SJ, Jan S, Chapman JR, Cass A. How can we achieve global equity in provision of renal replacement therapy? *Bull World Health Organ*. 2008;86(3):229-237.
60. George C, Thomas T, Nair S, Jacob M. Providing culturally competent care in hemodialysis: a challenge for nurses. *Indian J Nephrol*. 2015;25(5):309-313.
61. Norris KC, Agodoa L, Boulware LE, et al. Chronic kidney disease awareness and management. *Semin Nephrol*. 2009;29(5):437-444.
62. Johns TS, Estrella MM, Crews DC, et al. Neighborhood socioeconomic status, race, and mortality in young adults on dialysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2014;25(11):2649-2657.
63. Rysz J, Franczyk B, Ciałkowska-Rysz A, Gluba-Brzózka A. Novel nutritional interventions to improve outcomes in hemodialysis patients. *Nutrients*. 2021;13(8):2669.
64. Cavanaugh KL. Integrating nutrition and health literacy into CKD patient education. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2013;61(2):191-193.
65. Chan L, Nadukuru R, Urban MK. Transition of care for patients with end-stage renal disease: from hospital to home. *Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis*. 2017;10:1-9.
66. Bonner A, Havas K, Douglas C, et al. Self-management programmes in stages 1–4 chronic kidney disease: a literature review. *J Ren Care*. 2014;40(3):194-204.
67. Mason J, Khunti K, Stone M, Farooqi A, Carr S. Educational interventions in kidney disease care: a review. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2008;23(3):708-714.
68. Lopez-Vargas PA, Tong A, Howell M, Craig JC. Educational interventions for patients with CKD: a systematic review. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2016;68(3):353-370.