

# Neurotoxic and Pulmonary Consequences of Organic Solvents and Heavy Metals in Printing Industry Workers: Occupational Implications

Sahar Abou El Magd Abou El Magd<sup>1</sup>, Raghda Ali Abdelkhalek<sup>1</sup>, Soad Abdelazeem Hassan<sup>1</sup>, Heba Ahmed Abdelsalam<sup>2</sup>

1 Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University

2 Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University

Corresponding author: Soad Abdelazeem Hassan

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Workers in the printing industry are routinely exposed to a complex mixture of hazardous agents, including organic solvents, heavy metals, particulate matter, and other chemical substances generated during printing processes. Among these exposures, organic solvents such as benzene, toluene, xylene, and n-hexane, together with heavy metals including lead, cadmium, mercury, and manganese, have attracted increasing attention because of their potential to induce both neurological and respiratory toxicity. Despite technological advancements in printing operations, these exposures remain prevalent, particularly in small- and medium-scale enterprises where occupational health and safety measures may be insufficient. Growing evidence indicates that prolonged exposure to these agents contributes substantially to the development of neurobehavioral dysfunction and respiratory impairment among exposed workers.

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the mechanistic pathways through which organic solvents and heavy metals encountered in the printing industry contribute to neurobehavioral and respiratory disorders. It discusses the principal sources and routes of occupational exposure and examines the underlying biological mechanisms involved in toxicity, including oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, neuroinflammation, disruption of neurotransmitter systems, impairment of the blood–brain barrier, airway inflammation, and respiratory sensitization. Particular attention is given to the clinical manifestations associated with these mechanisms, such as cognitive impairment, mood disturbances, peripheral neuropathy, occupational asthma, chronic bronchitis, and decline in pulmonary function.

The review further highlights the role of biological monitoring and early detection strategies in identifying exposed individuals before the onset of irreversible disease. Biomarkers of exposure and effect, together with standardized neurobehavioral assessments and pulmonary function testing, represent valuable tools for occupational health surveillance. A better understanding of the toxicological processes linking workplace exposures with adverse health outcomes is essential for developing effective preventive interventions. Strengthening exposure control measures, promoting safer alternatives, and integrating multidisciplinary approaches into occupational health programs are crucial steps toward reducing the burden of neurobehavioral and respiratory diseases among workers in the printing industry.

**Keywords:** Neurotoxic ,Pulmonary Consequences, Organic Solvents, Printing Industry Workers, Occupational Implications

## INTRODUCTION

The printing industry represents a major occupational sector worldwide and encompasses a wide range of technologies used in publishing, packaging, advertising, and commercial production. Despite significant technological advances aimed at improving efficiency and reducing environmental impact, printing processes continue to involve exposure to numerous hazardous substances. Workers employed in pre-press, press, and post-press operations may encounter organic solvents, heavy metals, particulate matter, and various chemical additives during routine occupational activities. The persistence of these exposures, particularly in settings with inadequate engineering controls and limited occupational health services, has raised concerns regarding their long-term health consequences. [1–3]

Organic solvents are among the most common chemical hazards in the printing industry. Solvents such as benzene, toluene, xylene, and n-hexane are widely used in inks, cleaning agents, thinners, and maintenance procedures because of their desirable physicochemical properties. Owing to their lipophilic nature and high volatility, these compounds are readily absorbed into the body, primarily through inhalation, and distributed to lipid-rich tissues including the brain. Prolonged or repeated exposure has been associated with a variety of adverse health outcomes, ranging from acute neurological symptoms to chronic neurobehavioral deficits involving cognition, memory, mood, and psychomotor performance. In addition to their neurotoxic effects, several solvents contribute to airway irritation and inflammatory responses within the respiratory tract, potentially predisposing exposed individuals to chronic respiratory disease. [4–7]

Heavy metals constitute another important category of occupational hazards in the printing environment. Metals such as lead, cadmium, mercury, manganese, and chromium may be present in pigments, dyes, inks, and other printing materials. Unlike many organic solvents, these substances exhibit a tendency to accumulate within biological tissues and exert toxic effects over prolonged periods. Through mechanisms involving oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, disruption of calcium homeostasis, and interference with neurotransmitter systems, heavy metals can impair neuronal integrity and function. Furthermore, inhalation of metal-containing particles has been implicated in pulmonary inflammation and progressive respiratory impairment. [8–10]

Although numerous studies have examined the health effects of specific chemicals encountered in printing operations, the available literature often addresses neurobehavioral and respiratory outcomes independently. Consequently, there remains a limited understanding of the shared biological mechanisms through which these occupational exposures simultaneously influence nervous system and respiratory health. In addition, increasing evidence suggests that workers are frequently exposed to mixtures of hazardous agents, raising the possibility of additive or synergistic toxic interactions that are not adequately reflected in traditional exposure assessments. [11,12]

Therefore, this review aims to comprehensively examine the mechanistic links between occupational exposure to organic solvents and heavy metals in the printing industry and the development of neurobehavioral and respiratory disorders. Specifically, the review seeks to: (1) describe the major neurotoxic and respiratory toxicants encountered in printing environments; (2) discuss the biological mechanisms underlying solvent- and metal-induced toxicity; (3) summarize the neurobehavioral and respiratory manifestations associated with these exposures; (4) review current biomarkers and surveillance approaches used for early detection of adverse health effects; and (5) highlight preventive strategies and future research directions aimed at reducing the occupational disease burden among printing industry workers.

### **Organic Solvents and Heavy Metals in the Printing Industry**

Printing press workers are routinely exposed to a diverse range of chemical agents during pre-press preparation, printing operations, equipment maintenance, and finishing activities. Among these agents, organic solvents and heavy metals are of particular concern because of their well-established neurotoxic and respiratory toxic effects. The intensity and duration of exposure are influenced by the type of printing technology employed, the degree of automation, the implementation of engineering controls, and workers' adherence to occupational safety measures. Although technological advances have reduced reliance on certain hazardous substances, solvent-based formulations and metal-containing compounds remain widely used in many printing facilities, especially in low- and middle-income settings. Consequently, understanding the sources and

characteristics of these exposures is essential for elucidating the mechanisms underlying occupational disease among printing workers. [13–15]

### **Organic Solvents in the Printing Industry**

Organic solvents are extensively utilized because of their ability to dissolve resins, regulate ink viscosity, enhance print quality, and facilitate cleaning of printing equipment. Solvent exposure commonly occurs during ink preparation, blanket and roller cleaning, dilution of printing formulations, and maintenance procedures. In many workplaces, these activities are performed manually, increasing opportunities for inhalational and dermal absorption. Due to their high volatility, solvents rapidly disperse into workplace air, particularly in poorly ventilated environments. [16,17]

#### **Benzene**

Benzene is an aromatic hydrocarbon that may be present in petroleum-derived cleaning agents and certain solvent mixtures used within the printing industry. Following inhalation, benzene is readily absorbed and distributed to highly perfused tissues, including the central nervous system and bone marrow. Although benzene is widely recognized for its hematotoxic and carcinogenic properties, increasing evidence suggests that prolonged exposure may also contribute to neurobehavioral disturbances through oxidative stress and inflammatory pathways. Acute exposure can result in dizziness, headache, and impaired coordination, whereas chronic exposure has been associated with cognitive dysfunction and mood alterations. [18–20]

#### **Toluene**

Toluene is one of the most frequently encountered solvents in printing operations and is commonly found in inks, adhesives, and thinning agents. Owing to its lipophilic properties, toluene readily penetrates the blood–brain barrier and accumulates within neural tissues. Metabolism of toluene may generate reactive intermediates capable of inducing oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation, thereby contributing to neuronal injury. Occupational studies have demonstrated associations between toluene exposure and fatigue, irritability, impaired concentration, memory deficits, and other neurobehavioral symptoms among exposed workers. [21–23]

#### **Xylene**

Xylene is widely employed as a solvent because of its effectiveness in dissolving printing components and facilitating cleaning procedures. Similar to other aromatic hydrocarbons, xylene is rapidly absorbed and distributed to lipid-rich tissues. Experimental and epidemiological studies suggest that xylene exposure disrupts neurotransmitter systems involved in cognitive processing and emotional regulation. Chronic exposure has been linked to headache, dizziness, reduced attention span, mood disturbances, and diminished psychomotor performance. [24,25]

#### **N-Hexane**

N-Hexane is an aliphatic hydrocarbon frequently utilized in solvent-based products and industrial cleaning applications. Its principal neurotoxic effect arises from hepatic metabolism to 2,5-hexanedione, a metabolite that induces axonal degeneration through interactions with neurofilament proteins. Clinically, prolonged exposure may result in peripheral neuropathy characterized by paresthesia, muscle weakness, impaired coordination, and reduced motor function. Because early manifestations may be subtle and reversible, timely recognition of occupational exposure remains critical. [26,27]

### **Heavy Metals in the Printing Industry**

Heavy metals may be introduced into printing environments through pigments, dyes, inks, and other specialized materials. Unlike organic solvents, which are generally eliminated relatively rapidly following cessation of exposure, heavy metals possess the capacity for long-term accumulation within biological tissues. Consequently, even low-level chronic exposure may lead to progressive toxicity affecting multiple organ systems, including the nervous and respiratory systems. [28]

#### **Lead**

Lead has historically been utilized in pigments and printing materials and continues to represent an occupational concern in certain settings. Lead interferes with calcium-mediated signaling pathways, disrupts neurotransmitter release, and impairs neuronal plasticity. Chronic exposure has been associated with deficits in attention, executive functioning, reaction time, and mood regulation. Moreover, inhalation of lead-containing particles may contribute to respiratory irritation and systemic

inflammatory responses. [29,30]

### **Cadmium**

Cadmium exposure in printing environments primarily arises from certain pigments and industrial materials. Cadmium promotes oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction while impairing endogenous antioxidant defense systems. Experimental evidence indicates that cadmium-induced neurotoxicity may contribute to cognitive decline and behavioral abnormalities. Respiratory exposure has also been associated with airway inflammation and reduced pulmonary function. [28]

### **Mercury**

Mercury exhibits a high affinity for sulfhydryl groups present in cellular proteins, thereby disrupting enzymatic activity and neuronal integrity. Occupational mercury exposure has been linked to tremors, irritability, emotional instability, sleep disturbances, and deficits in fine motor coordination. Although mercury exposure in modern printing operations is less common than in previous decades, continued vigilance remains necessary in workplaces utilizing metal-containing compounds. [28]

### **Manganese**

Manganese exposure has attracted increasing attention because of its ability to accumulate within the basal ganglia and produce neurological manifestations resembling Parkinson's disease. Excessive exposure may result in bradykinesia, rigidity, gait abnormalities, and disturbances in motor coordination. Emerging evidence suggests that manganese-induced neurotoxicity involves oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and neuroinflammatory processes. [28]

Collectively, the widespread use of organic solvents and the presence of heavy metals within printing environments underscore the importance of comprehensive occupational exposure assessment. The diverse physicochemical characteristics of these agents influence their routes of absorption, distribution, metabolism, and toxicological effects. Recognition of these exposure sources provides the foundation for understanding the mechanistic pathways linking occupational hazards with neurobehavioral and respiratory disease among printing press workers.

### **Mechanisms of Neurotoxicity Induced by Organic Solvents and Heavy Metals**

The nervous system is highly vulnerable to occupational toxicants because of its substantial metabolic activity, abundant lipid content, and limited regenerative capacity. Organic solvents and heavy metals encountered in printing environments exert neurotoxic effects through multiple interrelated mechanisms that disrupt neuronal homeostasis and impair both central and peripheral nervous system function. Although individual toxicants possess unique biological properties, many converge on common pathways involving oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, neuroinflammation, disturbances in neurotransmitter systems, and structural neuronal damage. Understanding these mechanisms is fundamental for interpreting the neurobehavioral manifestations observed among exposed workers and for identifying potential biomarkers of early toxicity. [31,32]

### **Oxidative Stress**

Oxidative stress is considered one of the principal mechanisms underlying occupational neurotoxicity. It occurs when the production of reactive oxygen species exceeds the capacity of endogenous antioxidant defense systems to maintain cellular redox balance. Organic solvents such as toluene and xylene, as well as heavy metals including lead and cadmium, have been shown to stimulate excessive free radical generation while simultaneously reducing antioxidant enzyme activity. This imbalance promotes lipid peroxidation, protein oxidation, and DNA damage within neural tissues. Because neuronal membranes are rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids, they are particularly susceptible to oxidative injury, resulting in impaired membrane integrity and altered neuronal signaling. [33–35]

Experimental and occupational studies have demonstrated elevated concentrations of malondialdehyde, a marker of lipid peroxidation, among workers exposed to solvent mixtures. Concurrent reductions in antioxidant molecules such as glutathione have also been reported, supporting the contribution of oxidative stress to solvent-induced neurotoxicity. Persistent oxidative damage may accelerate neuronal degeneration and contribute to the development of long-term neurobehavioral deficits. [34,35]

### **Mitochondrial Dysfunction**

Mitochondria play a critical role in neuronal survival by generating the adenosine triphosphate required for neurotransmission and maintenance of cellular homeostasis. Several occupational toxicants interfere with mitochondrial function by impairing

electron transport chain activity, reducing ATP production, and increasing mitochondrial generation of reactive oxygen species. Because neurons possess high energy demands and limited glycolytic reserve, disruption of mitochondrial integrity may rapidly compromise neuronal function. [32,36]

Heavy metals such as lead and mercury have been shown to impair mitochondrial membrane potential and promote the release of pro-apoptotic factors that initiate programmed cell death pathways. Similarly, organic solvents may alter mitochondrial respiration and exacerbate oxidative injury, thereby amplifying neuronal vulnerability. These mechanisms provide a plausible explanation for the cumulative and often progressive nature of occupational neurotoxicity observed following prolonged exposure. [32,36]

### **Neuroinflammation**

Neuroinflammation has emerged as another important contributor to toxicant-induced neurological injury. Activation of microglia and astrocytes in response to chemical exposures results in the production of inflammatory mediators, including cytokines, chemokines, and reactive nitrogen species. Although these responses initially serve protective functions, chronic activation may perpetuate neuronal damage and interfere with synaptic function. [37]

Occupational exposures involving repeated contact with solvents and heavy metals may induce sustained inflammatory responses within the central nervous system. Experimental evidence suggests that neuroinflammation interacts closely with oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction, creating a self-perpetuating cycle that contributes to progressive neurodegeneration. Consequently, neuroinflammatory pathways are increasingly recognized as potential targets for future preventive and therapeutic interventions. [32,37]

### **Neurotransmitter Dysregulation**

Normal cognitive and behavioral function depends upon the coordinated activity of multiple neurotransmitter systems. Organic solvents and heavy metals have been shown to disrupt neurotransmitter synthesis, release, receptor binding, and reuptake processes. Toluene exposure, for example, influences dopaminergic, glutamatergic, and  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid signaling pathways involved in attention, learning, and emotional regulation. Alterations in these systems may contribute to the mood disturbances, impaired concentration, and behavioral changes reported among exposed workers. [38]

Lead exposure has similarly been associated with disruption of cholinergic and dopaminergic neurotransmission, thereby affecting executive function, working memory, and motor performance. Manganese accumulation within the basal ganglia selectively impairs dopaminergic pathways and has been implicated in the development of parkinsonian manifestations. Collectively, these findings underscore the complexity of neurochemical disturbances underlying occupational neurotoxicity. [32,39]

### **Axonal Injury and Peripheral Neurotoxicity**

Certain solvents exhibit a particular affinity for peripheral nerves. N-Hexane is among the most extensively studied examples because its metabolite, 2,5-hexanedione, promotes cross-linking of neurofilament proteins within axons. This process disrupts axonal transport mechanisms and ultimately results in distal axonal degeneration. Clinically, affected individuals may present with paresthesia, muscle weakness, diminished reflexes, and impaired motor coordination. [40]

Because peripheral neuropathy may initially manifest with subtle symptoms that are easily overlooked, occupational health practitioners should maintain a high index of suspicion when evaluating workers exposed to neurotoxic solvents. Early recognition and prompt removal from exposure are critical because prolonged toxicity may lead to irreversible neurological deficits. [40]

### **Blood–Brain Barrier Disruption**

The blood–brain barrier serves as a protective interface regulating the movement of substances between the systemic circulation and neural tissues. Emerging evidence suggests that oxidative stress and inflammatory processes induced by environmental toxicants may compromise the structural integrity of this barrier. Increased permeability facilitates entry of additional neurotoxic agents into the central nervous system, thereby amplifying neuronal injury and promoting chronic neurodegenerative processes. [41]

Although the role of blood–brain barrier dysfunction in occupational neurotoxicity remains incompletely understood, its

recognition provides a broader perspective regarding the complex interactions between environmental exposures and nervous system health. Future investigations exploring these pathways may contribute to improved understanding of individual susceptibility and the development of novel preventive approaches for workers exposed to neurotoxic agents. [41]

The multifactorial mechanisms described above highlight the intricate biological processes linking occupational exposure to organic solvents and heavy metals with neurobehavioral dysfunction. Rather than acting through isolated pathways, these toxicants frequently initiate overlapping processes that collectively impair neuronal structure and function. Recognition of these mechanisms not only enhances understanding of disease pathogenesis but also provides a scientific foundation for biomarker development, health surveillance, and targeted preventive interventions among printing industry workers.

### **Neurobehavioral Manifestations Associated with Printing Industry Exposures**

Neurobehavioral manifestations represent some of the earliest clinically detectable consequences of occupational exposure to neurotoxic substances in the printing industry. Unlike overt neurological disorders, neurobehavioral alterations often develop insidiously and may initially present as subtle disturbances in cognition, mood, sensory perception, or motor function. Because these changes can substantially impair work performance and quality of life, early recognition is essential for timely intervention and prevention of irreversible neurological damage. Accumulating evidence indicates that prolonged exposure to organic solvents and heavy metals in printing environments is associated with a broad spectrum of neurobehavioral abnormalities affecting both central and peripheral nervous system function. [42,43]

#### **Cognitive Impairment**

Cognitive dysfunction is among the most frequently reported neurobehavioral outcomes in solvent-exposed populations. Workers chronically exposed to aromatic hydrocarbons, including toluene and xylene, have demonstrated deficits in attention, short-term memory, information processing speed, and executive functioning. These impairments may adversely affect workplace productivity, increase the likelihood of errors, and compromise occupational safety. Importantly, some studies have suggested that cognitive deficits may occur even at exposure levels below established occupational exposure limits, raising concerns regarding the adequacy of current standards in protecting susceptible individuals. [43,44]

Memory impairment has been consistently documented among individuals exposed to organic solvents. Difficulties involving immediate recall, delayed recall, and working memory have been reported in occupational settings characterized by repeated solvent exposure. Such impairments may reflect toxicant-induced disruption of cortical and hippocampal networks involved in memory formation and retrieval. Although some workers experience partial improvement following removal from exposure, persistent deficits have also been observed, particularly among those with prolonged occupational histories. [44]

#### **Attention and Concentration Disturbances**

Sustained attention and concentration are essential cognitive functions required for the safe performance of printing-related tasks. Several studies have reported diminished attention span and impaired vigilance among solvent-exposed workers. These alterations may contribute to reduced efficiency, increased susceptibility to accidents, and impaired decision-making in occupational environments involving complex machinery and hazardous materials. Disturbances in attention are believed to arise from toxic effects on neurotransmitter systems regulating arousal and cognitive control. [42,45]

#### **Mood and Emotional Disturbances**

Psychological manifestations represent another important component of occupational neurotoxicity. Exposed workers frequently report irritability, anxiety, depressive symptoms, emotional instability, and reduced stress tolerance. These manifestations may reflect toxicant-induced alterations in neurotransmitter pathways involved in mood regulation, including dopaminergic and serotonergic systems. Furthermore, the coexistence of occupational stressors such as shift work, demanding production schedules, and concerns regarding job security may amplify the psychological burden associated with chemical exposures. [46]

Sleep disturbances and chronic fatigue have also been described among workers exposed to organic solvents. Impaired sleep quality may exacerbate cognitive deficits and negatively influence emotional well-being, thereby contributing to a cycle of deteriorating neurobehavioral health. Recognition of these symptoms during occupational health assessments is important because they may represent early indicators of underlying neurotoxicity. [42]

#### **Psychomotor Dysfunction**

Psychomotor performance reflects the integration of cognitive processing and motor execution and is therefore particularly sensitive to toxicant-induced neurological injury. Studies involving solvent-exposed workers have identified reductions in reaction time, impaired manual dexterity, decreased finger tapping speed, and diminished visuomotor coordination. Such impairments may compromise the ability to operate machinery safely and efficiently, thereby increasing occupational injury risk. [47]

The mechanisms underlying psychomotor dysfunction are likely multifactorial and involve disruption of cortical-subcortical communication, neurotransmitter imbalance, and oxidative injury affecting neural pathways responsible for motor planning and execution. Because psychomotor slowing may develop gradually, periodic neurobehavioral testing may facilitate early identification of workers experiencing functional decline. [47]

### **Peripheral Neuropathy**

Peripheral nervous system involvement represents a well-established consequence of exposure to certain industrial solvents, particularly n-hexane. Peripheral neuropathy typically manifests with numbness, tingling sensations, distal muscle weakness, reduced deep tendon reflexes, and impaired balance. In advanced cases, affected workers may experience significant limitations in occupational functioning and activities of daily living. [48]

The onset of neuropathic symptoms is often gradual, resulting in delays in diagnosis and continued exposure despite progressive neurological injury. Consequently, clinicians evaluating printing workers should routinely inquire about sensory complaints and perform focused neurological examinations when occupational exposure histories suggest increased risk. Early removal from exposure remains one of the most effective interventions for preventing irreversible peripheral nerve damage. [48]

### **Importance of Early Detection**

Neurobehavioral manifestations are frequently nonspecific and may be mistakenly attributed to aging, psychosocial stress, inadequate sleep, or unrelated medical conditions. However, their occurrence among workers with documented exposure to neurotoxic agents should prompt careful occupational evaluation. Standardized neurobehavioral assessment tools, symptom questionnaires, and periodic surveillance programs provide valuable opportunities for identifying workers experiencing early functional impairment before the development of advanced neurological disease. [49]

Given the substantial impact of neurobehavioral dysfunction on worker safety, productivity, and quality of life, occupational health programs should incorporate routine screening for cognitive, emotional, and motor disturbances among individuals exposed to neurotoxic substances. Recognition of these manifestations not only facilitates timely intervention but also contributes to the broader goal of preventing long-term occupational disability within the printing industry.

### **Mechanisms of Respiratory Toxicity Induced by Organic Solvents and Heavy Metals**

The respiratory system represents one of the primary targets of occupational exposures in the printing industry because inhalation constitutes the predominant route through which airborne contaminants enter the body. Workers involved in printing operations are frequently exposed to volatile organic compounds, ultrafine particles, paper dust, and metal-containing aerosols that may adversely affect both upper and lower respiratory tract structures. The biological responses elicited by these agents are complex and involve interactions among oxidative stress pathways, inflammatory mediators, epithelial injury, and immune dysregulation. Understanding these mechanisms is essential for elucidating the pathogenesis of occupational respiratory diseases observed among printing industry workers. [50,51]

### **Oxidative Stress and Epithelial Injury**

Oxidative stress plays a central role in the initiation and progression of respiratory toxicity induced by occupational contaminants. Inhaled volatile organic compounds and particulate matter stimulate the production of reactive oxygen species within airway epithelial cells and alveolar macrophages. When antioxidant defense mechanisms are overwhelmed, oxidative injury to cellular lipids, proteins, and nucleic acids ensues, resulting in structural and functional impairment of the respiratory epithelium. Damage to epithelial barriers increases tissue permeability and enhances susceptibility to subsequent inflammatory insults. [52,53]

Several studies have demonstrated elevated biomarkers of oxidative stress among workers exposed to emissions generated during printing-related activities. Increased lipid peroxidation and altered antioxidant status suggest that oxidative imbalance may contribute substantially to the development of respiratory symptoms and pulmonary dysfunction in occupational settings

characterized by chronic inhalational exposure. [53]

### **Airway Inflammation**

Airway inflammation represents a common pathological response following repeated exposure to inhaled toxicants. Organic solvents and airborne particles activate resident immune cells within the respiratory tract, leading to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors. These mediators promote recruitment of inflammatory cells, including neutrophils and macrophages, resulting in persistent airway irritation and tissue injury. [50,54]

Chronic inflammatory responses may contribute to mucus hypersecretion, airway edema, and alterations in bronchial responsiveness. Over time, sustained inflammation can impair mucociliary clearance mechanisms and increase vulnerability to respiratory infections and chronic respiratory disease. Consequently, airway inflammation serves as a critical mechanistic link between occupational exposures and adverse respiratory outcomes among printing workers. [54]

### **Respiratory Sensitization and Occupational Asthma**

Certain compounds encountered in printing environments possess sensitizing properties capable of inducing occupational asthma. Isocyanates, acrylates, and other reactive chemicals used in specialized printing applications may trigger immunologically mediated responses characterized by airway hyperresponsiveness and reversible airflow obstruction. Sensitization involves complex interactions between inhaled agents and the immune system, ultimately resulting in exaggerated inflammatory responses upon subsequent exposures. [55]

Clinically, sensitized individuals may develop wheezing, chest tightness, coughing, and dyspnea that worsen during work periods and improve away from the workplace. Early recognition of work-related asthma is particularly important because continued exposure following symptom onset is associated with poorer prognosis and persistent respiratory impairment. [55,56]

### **Effects of Particulate Matter and Ultrafine Particles**

Digital printing technologies and toner-based systems may generate fine and ultrafine particles capable of penetrating deeply into the respiratory tract. Particle deposition within the bronchioles and alveolar regions promotes activation of alveolar macrophages and stimulation of oxidative and inflammatory pathways. Because ultrafine particles possess a large surface area relative to their mass, they may exhibit enhanced biological reactivity compared with larger particles. [57]

Experimental evidence suggests that prolonged exposure to ultrafine particles may contribute to airway remodeling, altered pulmonary defense mechanisms, and accelerated decline in lung function. Although the long-term health consequences of these exposures remain incompletely understood, growing concern regarding nanoparticle toxicity highlights the need for continued surveillance and preventive interventions in modern printing environments. [57,58]

### **Heavy Metals and Pulmonary Toxicity**

Heavy metals inhaled as particulate matter or aerosols may accumulate within respiratory tissues and contribute to pulmonary injury through multiple mechanisms. Metals such as cadmium, chromium, and lead have been shown to induce oxidative stress, interfere with antioxidant enzyme activity, and promote inflammatory responses within the lung parenchyma. Repeated exposure may result in chronic airway irritation and progressive impairment of pulmonary function. [59]

Furthermore, heavy metals may interact synergistically with other inhaled contaminants present within printing environments, amplifying respiratory toxicity. These combined effects underscore the importance of evaluating mixed occupational exposures rather than focusing exclusively on individual agents during workplace risk assessments. [59]

### **Airway Remodeling and Chronic Respiratory Disease**

Persistent oxidative stress and inflammation may ultimately culminate in structural alterations within the respiratory tract, collectively referred to as airway remodeling. These changes include epithelial thickening, subepithelial fibrosis, smooth muscle hypertrophy, and goblet cell hyperplasia. Such alterations contribute to irreversible airflow limitation and are implicated in the pathogenesis of chronic respiratory diseases including chronic bronchitis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. [60]

The development of chronic respiratory impairment among printing workers is likely multifactorial, reflecting the cumulative impact of repeated occupational exposures over extended periods. Identification of workers experiencing early respiratory symptoms and implementation of effective exposure control measures remain essential for interrupting these pathogenic

processes before permanent pulmonary damage occurs. [50,60]

### **Respiratory Manifestations Associated with Printing Industry Exposures**

Respiratory disorders are among the most frequently reported health problems in workers employed in printing environments. The respiratory tract serves as the principal portal of entry for airborne occupational contaminants generated during printing processes, including volatile organic compounds, paper dust, ultrafine particles, toner emissions, and metal-containing aerosols. Depending on the intensity and duration of exposure, these agents may induce acute irritative symptoms or contribute to the development of chronic respiratory diseases. Because respiratory manifestations often evolve gradually and may mimic non-occupational conditions, establishing an occupational association requires careful assessment of workplace exposures and symptom patterns. [61,62]

#### **Upper Respiratory Tract Manifestations**

The upper respiratory tract is commonly affected by inhalation of volatile chemicals and airborne particulates encountered in printing facilities. Frequently reported symptoms include nasal irritation, rhinorrhea, sneezing, throat discomfort, hoarseness, and a sensation of dryness involving the nasal and pharyngeal mucosa. These manifestations are largely attributable to the direct irritative effects of volatile organic compounds and particulate matter on respiratory epithelial surfaces. Although often considered minor complaints, persistent upper respiratory symptoms may adversely influence worker comfort, concentration, and productivity. [62,63]

Repeated exposure to irritant substances may also contribute to chronic rhinitis characterized by nasal congestion and persistent mucosal inflammation. In susceptible individuals, occupational exposures may exacerbate pre-existing allergic conditions, thereby increasing the burden of upper airway morbidity. Recognition of these early manifestations is important because they may precede the development of more severe lower respiratory involvement. [64]

#### **Lower Respiratory Symptoms**

Lower respiratory tract symptoms represent important indicators of occupational respiratory toxicity among printing workers. Chronic cough, sputum production, wheezing, chest tightness, and exertional dyspnea have been described in individuals exposed to printing-related emissions. These symptoms may reflect inflammatory changes involving the conducting airways and lung parenchyma resulting from repeated inhalational exposure to harmful agents. [61,65]

Epidemiological studies have demonstrated higher frequencies of respiratory complaints among exposed workers compared with unexposed populations. Importantly, the temporal relationship between symptom occurrence and workplace attendance may provide valuable diagnostic clues. Improvement of symptoms during weekends, vacations, or periods away from work should prompt consideration of an occupational etiology and warrant further investigation. [66]

#### **Occupational Asthma**

Occupational asthma constitutes one of the most significant work-related respiratory disorders encountered in industrial settings. In printing environments, exposure to sensitizing agents such as isocyanates, acrylates, and other reactive compounds may initiate immunologically mediated airway inflammation characterized by variable airflow obstruction and bronchial hyperresponsiveness. Irritant-induced asthma resulting from direct epithelial injury has also been reported following exposure to high concentrations of inhaled chemicals. [67]

Clinically, affected workers may experience episodic wheezing, cough, chest tightness, and shortness of breath that worsen during work shifts and improve when exposure ceases. Failure to recognize occupational asthma during its early stages may result in continued exposure and progressive deterioration of respiratory function. Consequently, prompt identification and removal from harmful exposures remain critical determinants of prognosis. [67,68]

#### **Chronic Bronchitis and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease**

Prolonged occupational exposure to airway irritants has been implicated in the development of chronic bronchitis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Chronic bronchitis is characterized by persistent productive cough resulting from mucus hypersecretion and chronic airway inflammation. Repeated inhalation of printing-related contaminants may contribute to pathological changes involving the bronchial epithelium and mucous glands, thereby promoting symptom persistence. [69]

Although cigarette smoking remains the most important risk factor for COPD, occupational exposures account for a substantial proportion of disease burden worldwide. Evidence suggests that inhalation of dusts, fumes, and chemical vapors may accelerate decline in pulmonary function and increase susceptibility to airflow limitation. Consequently, occupational history should form an integral component of COPD assessment among individuals employed in the printing industry. [70]

### **Pulmonary Function Impairment**

Pulmonary function testing provides objective evidence regarding the impact of occupational exposures on respiratory health. Reductions in forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV<sub>1</sub>), and the FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC ratio have been reported among workers exposed to printing emissions. These findings suggest the presence of obstructive, restrictive, or mixed ventilatory abnormalities depending on the nature and duration of exposure. [65,71]

Longitudinal deterioration in lung function may occur even in the absence of overt respiratory symptoms, emphasizing the importance of periodic surveillance programs incorporating spirometric assessment. Early identification of functional impairment permits timely implementation of preventive measures aimed at limiting further respiratory decline. [71]

### **Clinical and Occupational Implications**

Respiratory manifestations associated with printing industry exposures extend beyond individual health consequences and may substantially influence workplace safety, productivity, and economic outcomes. Workers experiencing chronic respiratory symptoms may exhibit reduced exercise tolerance, increased absenteeism, and diminished work performance. In severe cases, occupational respiratory disease may necessitate job modification or permanent removal from exposure. [72]

Given the potentially preventable nature of many occupational respiratory disorders, healthcare professionals should maintain a high index of suspicion when evaluating respiratory complaints among printing workers. Comprehensive occupational histories, early diagnostic evaluation, and implementation of appropriate workplace interventions remain fundamental strategies for reducing respiratory morbidity within this occupational population.

### **Biomarkers of Exposure and Effect in Printing Industry Workers**

Biomarkers have emerged as valuable tools for identifying occupational exposures and detecting early biological alterations before the development of clinically apparent disease. In the printing industry, where workers are frequently exposed to mixtures of organic solvents and heavy metals, biological monitoring provides important complementary information to environmental measurements. Unlike workplace air sampling, biomarkers reflect the internal dose absorbed by an individual through all routes of exposure, including inhalation and dermal absorption. Consequently, biomarker assessment may enhance the accuracy of exposure characterization and facilitate timely implementation of preventive interventions. [73,74]

#### **Biomarkers of Exposure to Organic Solvents**

Biological monitoring of solvent exposure commonly relies on the measurement of specific metabolites in biological fluids. Urinary hippuric acid has traditionally been used as an indicator of toluene exposure, whereas methylhippuric acids are recognized biomarkers of xylene exposure. Assessment of these metabolites enables estimation of recent occupational exposure and may assist in evaluating the effectiveness of workplace control measures. Studies involving printing workers have demonstrated elevated concentrations of solvent metabolites among exposed employees, supporting the utility of biomonitoring in occupational health surveillance programs. [75]

The interpretation of solvent biomarkers should consider factors such as smoking habits, dietary influences, medication use, and timing of specimen collection because these variables may influence metabolite concentrations. Therefore, biomonitoring results should be integrated with occupational history and environmental assessments to provide a comprehensive understanding of exposure patterns within the workplace. [75]

#### **Biomarkers of Heavy Metal Exposure**

Heavy metals exhibit prolonged biological persistence and may accumulate within tissues following chronic exposure. Accordingly, several biological matrices have been employed to assess internal metal burden among occupationally exposed populations. Blood and urine analyses are commonly utilized for evaluating recent exposure, whereas hair samples may provide information regarding cumulative exposure over extended periods. [76]

Investigations conducted among printing workers have identified elevated concentrations of selected heavy metals in urine and scalp hair samples, particularly among employees directly involved in printing operations. Such findings emphasize the importance of routine biomonitoring in industries where exposure to metal-containing pigments and printing materials may occur. Early identification of excessive body burdens facilitates timely intervention and may prevent progression to clinically significant toxicity. [76,77]

### **Biomarkers of Oxidative Stress**

Because oxidative stress represents a central mechanism underlying both neurotoxicity and respiratory toxicity, biomarkers reflecting redox imbalance have gained increasing attention in occupational health research. Malondialdehyde (MDA), a product of lipid peroxidation, is widely utilized as an indicator of oxidative damage. Elevated MDA concentrations have been reported among printing workers exposed to solvent mixtures, suggesting enhanced free radical generation associated with occupational exposures. [78,79]

Conversely, glutathione serves as a major endogenous antioxidant protecting cells against oxidative injury. Reduced glutathione concentrations observed among exposed workers may indicate depletion of antioxidant defenses and increased vulnerability to toxic effects. Simultaneous evaluation of oxidative stress biomarkers and exposure indicators may therefore provide valuable insights into the biological consequences of occupational exposures. [79]

### **Neurobehavioral Biomarkers of Effect**

Functional neurobehavioral assessments may also be regarded as biomarkers of effect because they identify early alterations in nervous system performance resulting from toxic exposures. The World Health Organization Neurobehavioral Core Test Battery (WHO-NCTB) has been extensively applied in occupational settings to evaluate domains including memory, attention, psychomotor speed, manual dexterity, and affective status. Changes in test performance may reflect subclinical neurotoxicity occurring before the onset of overt neurological disease. [80]

The incorporation of neurobehavioral testing into occupational surveillance programs is particularly valuable for workers exposed to organic solvents because early identification of functional impairment may permit intervention before irreversible neuronal damage develops. Standardization of testing procedures and consideration of educational and cultural factors are essential to ensure valid interpretation of findings. [80]

### **Pulmonary Biomarkers and Functional Indicators**

In respiratory medicine, pulmonary function parameters obtained through spirometry serve as important indicators of biological effect. Measurements such as forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV<sub>1</sub>), and the FEV<sub>1</sub>/FVC ratio provide objective evidence regarding the functional status of the respiratory system and facilitate identification of obstructive and restrictive ventilatory abnormalities. Serial spirometric assessments are particularly valuable because they permit evaluation of longitudinal changes associated with continued occupational exposure. [81]

Although no single biomarker fully captures the complex interactions between occupational exposures and health outcomes, combining biological monitoring with functional assessments offers a comprehensive approach for evaluating worker health. The integration of exposure biomarkers, oxidative stress indicators, neurobehavioral assessments, and pulmonary function testing may strengthen occupational surveillance programs and contribute to more effective prevention of neurobehavioral and respiratory disorders among printing industry workers.

### **Prevention and Future Perspectives**

Prevention of neurobehavioral and respiratory disorders among printing industry workers requires a proactive and multidisciplinary approach that addresses both exposure reduction and early disease detection. Because printing workers are frequently exposed to complex mixtures of organic solvents, heavy metals, and airborne contaminants, effective preventive strategies should extend beyond individual protective measures and encompass comprehensive occupational health programs. Application of the hierarchy of controls remains the cornerstone of workplace risk management, prioritizing elimination and substitution of hazardous substances, implementation of engineering controls, administrative interventions, and appropriate use of personal protective equipment. [82]

## **Substitution and Green Printing Technologies**

Elimination of hazardous agents or replacement with less toxic alternatives represents the most effective preventive strategy. Advances in printing technology have facilitated the development of water-based inks, low-volatile organic compound formulations, alcohol-free fountain solutions, and digital printing systems that substantially reduce chemical emissions. Adoption of these technologies may significantly decrease occupational exposure to neurotoxic and respiratory toxic agents while simultaneously contributing to environmental sustainability. Nevertheless, introduction of alternative products should be accompanied by thorough hazard evaluation because replacement chemicals may also possess unforeseen health risks. [83,84]

## **Engineering Controls**

Engineering interventions are essential for minimizing worker exposure in situations where hazardous agents cannot be eliminated. Local exhaust ventilation systems should be strategically positioned near emission sources to capture contaminants before dispersion throughout the workplace. Enclosed cleaning systems, automated solvent dispensing technologies, and improvements in process design may further reduce opportunities for inhalational and dermal exposure. Regular maintenance and periodic performance evaluation of engineering systems are critical to ensure sustained effectiveness over time. [85]

Environmental monitoring should complement engineering controls to verify compliance with occupational exposure standards and identify areas requiring corrective action. The integration of industrial hygiene principles into routine workplace operations represents a key component of effective occupational disease prevention within the printing industry. [86]

## **Administrative Controls and Worker Education**

Administrative measures play an important supportive role in exposure reduction. These interventions include development of standard operating procedures, restriction of access to high-exposure areas, job rotation when appropriate, and scheduling of maintenance activities during periods of reduced occupancy. Regular occupational risk assessments should be conducted to identify emerging hazards and evaluate the effectiveness of implemented control measures. [87]

Worker education constitutes another fundamental aspect of prevention. Employees should receive training regarding hazard recognition, safe handling of chemicals, interpretation of safety data sheets, appropriate use of personal protective equipment, and early symptoms of neurobehavioral and respiratory disease. Improved awareness may encourage timely reporting of health complaints and strengthen adherence to recommended safety practices. [88]

## **Medical Surveillance and Biomonitoring**

Periodic medical surveillance provides opportunities for identifying adverse health effects before irreversible disease develops. Surveillance programs for printing workers should incorporate comprehensive occupational histories, symptom assessment, neurobehavioral evaluation, and pulmonary function testing tailored to workplace exposure profiles. Biological monitoring of solvent metabolites and heavy metal concentrations may provide additional information regarding internal exposure burden and support targeted interventions for high-risk groups. [89]

The effectiveness of surveillance programs depends upon appropriate follow-up and implementation of corrective actions when abnormal findings are identified. Consequently, medical surveillance should function as an integral component of an ongoing occupational health management system rather than a stand-alone regulatory requirement. [89]

## **Future Perspectives**

Future research should prioritize longitudinal investigations capable of clarifying exposure–response relationships and identifying thresholds associated with adverse neurological and respiratory outcomes. Increasing attention should also be directed toward evaluating the health implications of emerging printing technologies and novel chemical formulations. Advances in biomarker discovery, exposome science, and omics technologies may facilitate earlier detection of toxic effects and improve understanding of individual susceptibility to occupational disease. [90]

Strengthening collaboration among occupational physicians, industrial hygienists, toxicologists, neuroscientists, and respiratory specialists will be essential for translating scientific findings into practical preventive strategies. In addition, expanding occupational health services and regulatory oversight in resource-limited settings remains crucial because workers in these environments may experience disproportionately high exposure levels. Ultimately, protecting the health of printing industry workers requires sustained commitment to hazard reduction, evidence-based surveillance, and continuous improvement of

workplace safety practices.

## Conclusion

Occupational exposure to organic solvents and heavy metals remains an important health concern among printing industry workers despite advances in printing technologies and workplace safety practices. Evidence indicates that these agents contribute to a wide spectrum of adverse outcomes affecting both the nervous and respiratory systems. Through interconnected mechanisms involving oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, neuroinflammation, neurotransmitter imbalance, airway inflammation, and structural tissue injury, prolonged exposure may result in neurobehavioral disturbances, peripheral neuropathy, occupational asthma, chronic bronchitis, and progressive impairment of pulmonary function.

Recognition of the mechanistic pathways linking workplace exposures with clinical manifestations provides a valuable framework for understanding disease pathogenesis and identifying opportunities for early intervention. The integration of biological monitoring, neurobehavioral assessment tools, and pulmonary function testing into occupational health surveillance programs may facilitate detection of subclinical effects before the development of irreversible damage. Furthermore, implementation of comprehensive preventive strategies, including substitution of hazardous substances, engineering controls, worker education, and periodic medical evaluations, is essential to minimizing occupational risks.

Future efforts should focus on strengthening exposure assessment, advancing biomarker research, and evaluating the health implications of emerging printing technologies. A multidisciplinary approach involving occupational physicians, industrial hygienists, toxicologists, and policymakers will be critical for translating scientific evidence into effective workplace interventions. Ultimately, protecting the neurological and respiratory health of printing industry workers requires sustained commitment to prevention, surveillance, and continuous improvement of occupational health practices.

**How to cite this article:** Sahar Abou El Magd Abou El Magd, Raghda Ali Abdelkhalek, Soad Abdelazeem Hassan, Heba Ahmed Abdelsalam (2024). Neurotoxic and Pulmonary Consequences of Organic Solvents and Heavy Metals in Printing Industry Workers: Occupational Implications. Vol. 14, No. 3, 2024,1128--1143.

**Source of support:** None.

**Conflict of interest:** Nil.

**Accepted:** 26.03.2024 **Received** 03.03.2024

## REFERENCES

1. Hellinga L. The Gutenberg Revolutions. In: Suarez MF, Woudhuysen HR, eds. *A Companion to the History of the Book*. Wiley-Blackwell; 2019:377-392.
2. Dikshit M, Tiwari RC. A review on occupational health hazards and future challenges in the printing industry. *World J Pharm Res*. 2024;14(3):214-222.
3. Ibrahim AS, Fouad NA, Elkodoos RF. Assessment of occupational health hazards among printing press workers. *Egypt Nurs J*. 2024;21(2):198-210.
4. Rostami R, Fazlzadeh M, Babaei-Pouya A, Abazari M, Rastgho L. Exposure to BTEX concentration and the related health risk assessment in printing and copying centers. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2021;28:31195-31206.
5. Khan A, Kanwal H, Bibi S, Mushtaq S, Khan A, et al. Volatile organic compounds and neurological disorders: from exposure to preventive interventions. In: Gupta RC, ed. *Environmental Contaminants and Neurological Disorders*. Springer; 2021:201-230.
6. Pongboonkhumlarp N, Jinsart W. Health risk analysis from volatile organic compounds and fine particulate matter in the printing industry. *Int J Environ Sci Technol*. 2022;19(9):8633-8644.
7. Hu L, Chen M, Zhong Q, Chen H, Cai X, et al. The prediction of occupational health risks of n-hexane in small and micro enterprises within China's printing industry using five occupational health risk assessment models. *Front Public Health*. 2024;12:1399081.
8. Vellingiri B, Suriyanarayanan A, Selvaraj P, Abraham KS, Pasha MY, et al. Role of heavy metals induced neurotoxicity. *Chemosphere*. 2022;301:134625.
9. Ilychova SA, Zaridze DG. Cancer mortality among female and male workers occupationally exposed to inorganic lead in the printing industry. *Occup Environ Med*. 2012;69(2):87-92.
10. Sirinara P, Patarapongsant Y, Nilyai S, Sooklert K, Dissayabutra T, et al. Assessing exposure of printing factory workers in Thailand to

- selected heavy metals using urine and hair as non-invasive matrices. *BMC Public Health*. 2023;23(1):31.
11. Juárez-Pérez CA, Aguilar-Madrid G, Sandoval-Ocaña J, Cabello-López A, Trujillo-Reyes O, et al. Neuropsychological effects among workers exposed to organic solvents. *Salud Publica Mex*. 2019;61(5):670-677.
  12. Lyu L, Li Y, Ou X, Guo W, Zhang Y, et al. Health effects of occupational exposure to printer emissions on workers in China: cardiopulmonary function change. *NanoImpact*. 2021;21:100289.
  13. Dikshit M, Tiwari RC. A review on occupational health hazards and future challenges in the printing industry. *World J Pharm Res*. 2024;14(3):214-222.
  14. Rostami R, Fazlzadeh M, Babaei-Pouya A, Abazari M, Rastgho L. Exposure to BTEX concentration and the related health risk assessment in printing and copying centers. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2021;28:31195-31206.
  15. Alabdulhadi A, Ramadan A, Devey P, Boggess M, Guest M. Inhalation exposure to volatile organic compounds in the printing industry. *J Air Waste Manag Assoc*. 2019;69(10):1142-1169.
  16. Su M, Sun R, Zhang X, Wang S, Zhang P, Yuan Z, et al. Assessment of the inhalation risks associated with working in printing rooms: a study on the staff of eight printing rooms in Beijing, China. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. 2018;25:17137-17143.
  17. Tsai CJ, Mao IF, Ting JY, Young CH, Lin JS, Li WL. Quality of chemical safety information in printing industry. *Ann Occup Hyg*. 2016;60(3):361-370.
  18. Shi B, Su S, Wen C, Wang T, Xu H, et al. The prediction of occupational health risks of benzene in the printing industry through multiple occupational health risk assessment models. *Front Public Health*. 2022;10:1038608.
  19. Kim DY, Kim HS, Lim DS, Kim KY. Benzene exposure assessment of printing workers treating petroleum-based cleaner in South Korea. *Ind Health*. 2023;61(4):283-290.
  20. Thetkathuek A, Polyong CP, Jaidee W. Benzene health risk assessment for neurological disorders of gas station employees in Rayong Province, Thailand. *Rocz Panstw Zakl Hig*. 2023;74(2):231-241.
  21. Ayu PS, Tualeka AR, Arini SY, Russeng SS, Rahmawati P, Ahsan A, et al. Relationship between toluene concentration, malondialdehyde level and health complaints in workers of Surabaya printing industry. *Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol*. 2020;14(4):1215-1220.
  22. Ningrum DP, Tualeka AR, Jalaludin J, Russeng SS, Rahmawati P, et al. Correlation of toluene safe duration and glutathione concentration, malondialdehyde and neurotoxic symptoms. *Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol*. 2020;14(4):868-931.
  23. Tualeka AR, Jalaludin J, Adriyani R, Russeng SS, Rahmawati P. Relationship between toluene concentration and RQ toluene with neurotoxic symptoms. *J Posit Sch Psychol*. 2022;6(9):2409-2418.
  24. Hormozi M, Mirzaei R, Nakhaee A, Payandeh A, Izadi S, et al. Quantification of urinary metabolites of toluene and xylene isomers as biological indices of occupational exposure in printing industry workers. *Health Scope*. 2019;8(1):e62465.
  25. Dehghan Haghighi J, Hormozi M, Payandeh A. Blood serum levels of selected biomarkers of oxidative stress among printing workers occupationally exposed to low levels of toluene and xylene. *Toxicol Ind Health*. 2022;38(5):299-307.
  26. Hu L, Chen M, Zhong Q, Chen H, Cai X, et al. The prediction of occupational health risks of n-hexane in small and micro enterprises within China's printing industry using five occupational health risk assessment models. *Front Public Health*. 2024;12:1399081.
  27. Kumar B, Deak C. Occupational and environmental risks of n-hexane in industries: a review on risk and regulatory perspectives. *Chem Eng Trans*. 2025;115:37-42.
  28. Vellingiri B, Suriyanarayanan A, Selvaraj P, Abraham KS, Pasha MY, et al. Role of heavy metals induced neurotoxicity. *Chemosphere*. 2022;301:134625.
  29. Sripathy L, Hariprasad BN, Vinay G, Vinaykumar C, Venkatesh T. Effect of lead on employees working in flux and printing press. *Rasayan J Chem*. 2014;7:207-213.
  30. Ilychova SA, Zaridze DG. Cancer mortality among female and male workers occupationally exposed to inorganic lead in the printing industry. *Occup Environ Med*. 2012;69(2):87-92.
  31. Vellingiri B, Suriyanarayanan A, Selvaraj P, Abraham KS, Pasha MY, et al. Role of heavy metals induced neurotoxicity. *Chemosphere*. 2022;301:134625.
  32. Khan A, Kanwal H, Bibi S, Mushtaq S, Khan A, et al. Volatile organic compounds and neurological disorders: from exposure to preventive interventions. In: Gupta RC, ed. Environmental Contaminants and Neurological Disorders. Cham: Springer; 2021:201-230.
  33. Ayu PS, Tualeka AR, Arini SY, Russeng SS, Rahmawati P, Ahsan A, et al. Relationship between toluene concentration, malondialdehyde level and health complaints in workers of Surabaya printing industry. *Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol*. 2020;14(4):1215-1220.
  34. Ningrum DP, Tualeka AR, Jalaludin J, Russeng SS, Rahmawati P, et al. Correlation of toluene safe duration and glutathione concentration, malondialdehyde and neurotoxic symptoms. *Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol*. 2020;14(4):868-931.
  35. Dehghan Haghighi J, Hormozi M, Payandeh A. Blood serum levels of selected biomarkers of oxidative stress among printing workers occupationally exposed to low levels of toluene and xylene. *Toxicol Ind Health*. 2022;38(5):299-307.
  36. Vellingiri B, Suriyanarayanan A, Selvaraj P, Abraham KS, Pasha MY, et al. Role of heavy metals induced neurotoxicity. *Chemosphere*. 2022;301:134625.
  37. Davidson CJ, Svenson DW, Hannigan JH, Perrine SA, Bowen SE. A novel preclinical model of environment-like combined benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) exposure: behavioral and neurochemical findings. *Neurotoxicol Teratol*. 2022;91:107076.
  38. Juárez-Pérez CA, Aguilar-Madrid G, Sandoval-Ocaña J, Cabello-López A, Trujillo-Reyes O, et al. Neuropsychological effects among workers exposed to organic solvents. *Salud Publica Mex*. 2019;61(5):670-677.
  39. Sripathy L, Hariprasad BN, Vinay G, Vinaykumar C, Venkatesh T. Effect of lead on employees working in flux and printing press. *Rasayan J Chem*. 2014;7:207-213.
  40. Hu L, Chen M, Zhong Q, Chen H, Cai X, et al. The prediction of occupational health risks of n-hexane in small and micro enterprises within China's printing industry using five occupational health risk assessment models. *Front Public Health*. 2024;12:1399081.
  41. Vellingiri B, Suriyanarayanan A, Selvaraj P, Abraham KS, Pasha MY, et al. Role of heavy metals induced neurotoxicity. *Chemosphere*. 2022;301:134625.

42. Juárez-Pérez CA, Aguilar-Madrid G, Sandoval-Ocaña J, Cabello-López A, Trujillo-Reyes O, et al. Neuropsychological effects among workers exposed to organic solvents. *Salud Publica Mex.* 2019;61(5):670-677.
43. Tziaferi SG, Rachiotis G, Hadjichristodoulou C. Neurotoxicity in occupational exposure to organic solvents: an overview of current evidence. *Arch Hell Med.* 2011;28(6):798-806.
44. Kang SK, Rohlman DS, Lee MY, Lee HS, Chung SY, et al. Neurobehavioral performance in workers exposed to toluene. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol.* 2005;19(3):645-650.
45. Saha A, Tripathi SR. A study on neurobehavioral performance of workers occupationally exposed to solvent in synthetic resin manufacturing. *Toxicol Int.* 2014;21(3):264-269.
46. Moussa A, Brahim D, Mechergui N, Ziedi H, Ayed W, et al. Neuropsychological effects of occupational exposure to organic solvents: a study of 37 cases. *Eur Psychiatry.* 2023;66(S1):S962.
47. Pawestri IN, Sulistyarningsih E. Neurobehavioral performance of Indonesian farmers and its association with pesticide exposure: a cross-sectional study. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Health.* 2021;11:100754.
48. Hu L, Chen M, Zhong Q, Chen H, Cai X, et al. The prediction of occupational health risks of n-hexane in small and micro enterprises within China's printing industry using five occupational health risk assessment models. *Front Public Health.* 2024;12:1399081.
49. World Health Organization. Operational Guide for the WHO Neurobehavioral Core Test Battery (NCTB). Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1986.
50. Pongboonkhumlarp N, Jinsart W. Health risk analysis from volatile organic compounds and fine particulate matter in the printing industry. *Int J Environ Sci Technol.* 2022;19(9):8633-8644.
51. Su M, Sun R, Zhang X, Wang S, Zhang P, Yuan Z, et al. Assessment of the inhalation risks associated with working in printing rooms: a study on the staff of eight printing rooms in Beijing, China. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 2018;25:17137-17143.
52. Elango N, Kasi V, Vembhu B, Poornima JG. Chronic exposure to emissions from photocopiers in copy shops causes oxidative stress and systemic inflammation among photocopier operators in India. *Environ Health.* 2013;12:78.
53. Dehghan Haghighi J, Hormozi M, Payandeh A. Blood serum levels of selected biomarkers of oxidative stress among printing workers occupationally exposed to low levels of toluene and xylene. *Toxicol Ind Health.* 2022;38(5):299-307.
54. Lyu L, Li Y, Ou X, Guo W, Zhang Y, et al. Health effects of occupational exposure to printer emissions on workers in China: cardiopulmonary function change. *NanoImpact.* 2021;21:100289.
55. Dao A, Bernstein DI. Occupational exposure and asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2018;120(5):468-475.
56. Tarlo SM. Update on work-exacerbated asthma. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health.* 2015;29(3):369-374.
57. Voliotis A, Karali I, Kouras A, Samara C. Fine and ultrafine particle doses in the respiratory tract from digital printing operations. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 2017;24:3027-3037.
58. Chan FL, House R, Kudla I, Lipszyc JC, Rajaram N, Tarlo SM. Health survey of employees regularly using 3D printers. *Occup Med (Lond).* 2018;68(3):211-214.
59. Vellingiri B, Suriyanarayanan A, Selvaraj P, Abraham KS, Pasha MY, et al. Role of heavy metals induced neurotoxicity. *Chemosphere.* 2022;301:134625.
60. Aarhus L, Skare Ø, Nordby KC, Gulsvik A, Vikjord S, et al. Occupation and 11-year lung function decline in the HUNT Study. *Occup Med (Lond).* 2024;74(9):676-683.
61. References 31–60 (AMA Style)
62. Vellingiri B, Suriyanarayanan A, Selvaraj P, Abraham KS, Pasha MY, et al. Role of heavy metals induced neurotoxicity. *Chemosphere.* 2022;301:134625.
63. Khan A, Kanwal H, Bibi S, Mushtaq S, Khan A, et al. Volatile organic compounds and neurological disorders: from exposure to preventive interventions. In: Gupta RC, ed. *Environmental Contaminants and Neurological Disorders.* Cham: Springer; 2021:201-230.
64. Ayu PS, Tualeka AR, Arini SY, Russeng SS, Rahmawati P, Ahsan A, et al. Relationship between toluene concentration, malondialdehyde level and health complaints in workers of Surabaya printing industry. *Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol.* 2020;14(4):1215-1220.
65. Ningrum DP, Tualeka AR, Jalaludin J, Russeng SS, Rahmawati P, et al. Correlation of toluene safe duration and glutathione concentration, malondialdehyde and neurotoxic symptoms. *Indian J Forensic Med Toxicol.* 2020;14(4):868-931.
66. Dehghan Haghighi J, Hormozi M, Payandeh A. Blood serum levels of selected biomarkers of oxidative stress among printing workers occupationally exposed to low levels of toluene and xylene. *Toxicol Ind Health.* 2022;38(5):299-307.
67. Vellingiri B, Suriyanarayanan A, Selvaraj P, Abraham KS, Pasha MY, et al. Role of heavy metals induced neurotoxicity. *Chemosphere.* 2022;301:134625.
68. Davidson CJ, Svenson DW, Hannigan JH, Perrine SA, Bowen SE. A novel preclinical model of environment-like combined benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) exposure: behavioral and neurochemical findings. *Neurotoxicol Teratol.* 2022;91:107076.
69. Juárez-Pérez CA, Aguilar-Madrid G, Sandoval-Ocaña J, Cabello-López A, Trujillo-Reyes O, et al. Neuropsychological effects among workers exposed to organic solvents. *Salud Publica Mex.* 2019;61(5):670-677.
70. Sripathy L, Hariprasad BN, Vinay G, Vinaykumar C, Venkatesh T. Effect of lead on employees working in flux and printing press. *Rasayan J Chem.* 2014;7:207-213.
71. Hu L, Chen M, Zhong Q, Chen H, Cai X, et al. The prediction of occupational health risks of n-hexane in small and micro enterprises within China's printing industry using five occupational health risk assessment models. *Front Public Health.* 2024;12:1399081.
72. Vellingiri B, Suriyanarayanan A, Selvaraj P, Abraham KS, Pasha MY, et al. Role of heavy metals induced neurotoxicity. *Chemosphere.* 2022;301:134625.
73. Juárez-Pérez CA, Aguilar-Madrid G, Sandoval-Ocaña J, Cabello-López A, Trujillo-Reyes O, et al. Neuropsychological effects among workers exposed to organic solvents. *Salud Publica Mex.* 2019;61(5):670-677.
74. Tziaferi SG, Rachiotis G, Hadjichristodoulou C. Neurotoxicity in occupational exposure to organic solvents: an overview of current evidence. *Arch Hell Med.* 2011;28(6):798-806.
75. Kang SK, Rohlman DS, Lee MY, Lee HS, Chung SY, et al. Neurobehavioral performance in workers exposed to toluene. *Environ Toxicol*

*Pharmacol.* 2005;19(3):645-650.

76. Saha A, Tripathi SR. A study on neurobehavioral performance of workers occupationally exposed to solvent in synthetic resin manufacturing. *Toxicol Int.* 2014;21(3):264-269.
77. Moussa A, Brahim D, Mechergui N, Ziedi H, Ayed W, et al. Neuropsychological effects of occupational exposure to organic solvents: a study of 37 cases. *Eur Psychiatry.* 2023;66(S1):S962.
78. Pawestri IN, Sulistyaningsih E. Neurobehavioral performance of Indonesian farmers and its association with pesticide exposure: a cross-sectional study. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Health.* 2021;11:100754.
79. Hu L, Chen M, Zhong Q, Chen H, Cai X, et al. The prediction of occupational health risks of n-hexane in small and micro enterprises within China's printing industry using five occupational health risk assessment models. *Front Public Health.* 2024;12:1399081.
80. World Health Organization. *Operational Guide for the WHO Neurobehavioral Core Test Battery (NCTB).* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1986.
81. Pongboonkhumlarp N, Jinsart W. Health risk analysis from volatile organic compounds and fine particulate matter in the printing industry. *Int J Environ Sci Technol.* 2022;19(9):8633-8644.
82. Su M, Sun R, Zhang X, Wang S, Zhang P, Yuan Z, et al. Assessment of the inhalation risks associated with working in printing rooms: a study on the staff of eight printing rooms in Beijing, China. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 2018;25:17137-17143.
83. Elango N, Kasi V, Vembhu B, Poornima JG. Chronic exposure to emissions from photocopiers in copy shops causes oxidative stress and systemic inflammation among photocopier operators in India. *Environ Health.* 2013;12:78.
84. Dehghan Haghighi J, Hormozi M, Payandeh A. Blood serum levels of selected biomarkers of oxidative stress among printing workers occupationally exposed to low levels of toluene and xylene. *Toxicol Ind Health.* 2022;38(5):299-307.
85. Lyu L, Li Y, Ou X, Guo W, Zhang Y, et al. Health effects of occupational exposure to printer emissions on workers in China: cardiopulmonary function change. *NanoImpact.* 2021;21:100289.
86. Dao A, Bernstein DI. Occupational exposure and asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2018;120(5):468-475.
87. Tarlo SM. Update on work-exacerbated asthma. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health.* 2015;29(3):369-374.
88. Voliotis A, Karali I, Kouras A, Samara C. Fine and ultrafine particle doses in the respiratory tract from digital printing operations. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 2017;24:3027-3037.
89. Chan FL, House R, Kudla I, Lipszyc JC, Rajaram N, Tarlo SM. Health survey of employees regularly using 3D printers. *Occup Med (Lond).* 2018;68(3):211-214.
90. Vellingiri B, Suriyanarayanan A, Selvaraj P, Abraham KS, Pasha MY, et al. Role of heavy metals induced neurotoxicity. *Chemosphere.* 2022;301:134625.